

The 750 GeV diphoton resonance in the light of a 2HDM with S_3 flavour symmetry

A. E. Cárcamo Hernández,^{1,*} I. de Medeiros Varzielas,^{2,†} and E. Schumacher^{3,‡}

¹*Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso
Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

²*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton,
Southampton, SO17 1BJ, U.K.*

³*Fakultät für Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany*

(Dated: April 21, 2016)

Very recently we proposed a predictive 2 Higgs Doublet Model with S_3 flavour symmetry that successfully accounts for fermion masses and mixings. In this letter, motivated by the 750 GeV Higgs diphoton resonance recently reported by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations, we modify this model by adding exotic top partners with electric charge $\frac{5}{3}$ and a electrically charged scalar singlet. These exotic top partners decay into a charged scalar singlet and the SM up type quarks, whereas the charged scalar singlet will mainly decay into SM up and down type quarks. This simple modification enables our model to successfully account for the Higgs diphoton excess at 750 GeV provided that the exotic quark masses are in the range [1, 2] TeV, for $O(1)$ exotic quark Yukawa couplings.

Introduction.

Recently, the ATLAS and CMS collaborations reported an excess of events above the expected background in the diphoton final state [1, 2]. This is very promising as both collaborations have the excess at an invariant mass of about 750 GeV, and with local statistical significance of 3.9σ (ATLAS) and 2.6σ (CMS). The confirmation of this excess would constitute proof of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). Although the excess may turn out to be a statistical fluctuation, it is very enticing to consider models that include in their field content something that can account for a resonance at 750 GeV and thus accounts for the excess of events.

This diphoton final state excess has generated much activity in the community. Many works have considered the excess of events in a model independent way, see [3–14]. Another study considered the implications of the Higgs diphoton resonance for the stability of the Higgs, naturalness and inflation [15] and [16] considers whether the resonance could be a Coleman-Weinberg inflaton.

Previous works have studied the resonant production at the LHC of (pseudo-) scalars coupled to two photons and gluons in the mass region from 30 GeV to 2 TeV [17], and of the observable effects of new scalar particles [18].

A myriad of explanations have been considered since the announcement of the excess. Adding scalar singlets is a fairly straightforward option, see [19–28], and [29] (which explores several scenarios including a scalar singlet). The scalar singlet model can be further extended with extra dimensions [30], or, alternatively, with additional vector leptoquarks, which also allow to simultaneously explain the B decay anomalies [31, 32].

Supersymmetric explanations are possible and the excess has been interpreted in the context of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [33], its R-symmetry violating version [34] and other supersymmetric extensions [35, 36].

Extending the SM gauge symmetry can also explain the excess, as described by [37–48], and models based on strongly coupled theories were considered by [3, 4, 49–56]. An extended broken symmetry with an extra Higgs boson and massive vector bosons can account for the diboson anomaly and the anomalous $t\bar{t}$ forward-backward asymmetry [57].

Models with extra fermions [58], in particular vector-like fermions had been considered before [59–62] and after the announcement [3–5, 10, 63–71], and other works relate the diphoton excess with loop TeV-scale seesaw mechanisms, either at two [44] or three loops [72].

Relating the excess with dark matter has been extensively considered, see [31, 51, 73–83].

There are also sgoldstino [84–86], radion [87, 88], graviton [89] and exotic heavy axion [49, 50, 90] interpretations of the 750 GeV excess.

String-motivated models were considered in [91–93].

Finally, a rather natural and popular framework to explain the excess is that of 2 Higgs Doublet Models (2HDMs), which have been studied in [63, 94–102] and will also be considered in this letter, where we make a simple modification of an existing 2HDM [103], by adding exotic top quark partners.

The model. We consider the 2HDM that we recently proposed in [103], with the SM gauge symmetry supplemented by the $S_3 \otimes Z_3 \otimes Z'_3 \otimes Z_{14}$ discrete group. The scalar sector has two Higgs doublets (assigned as trivial S_3 singlets) plus four SM singlet scalars assigned as one S_3 trivial singlet (χ), one S_3 non-trivial singlet (ζ) and one S_3 doublet (ξ). In order to successfully explain the LHC diphoton excess at 750 GeV, we extend the fermion sector of our 2HDM by including four $SU(2)_L$ singlet ex-

*Electronic address: antonio.carcamo@usm.cl

†Electronic address: ivo.de@soton.ac.uk

‡Electronic address: erik.schumacher@tu-dortmund.de

otic quark fields with electric charge $\frac{5}{3}$, T_{1L} , T_{1R} , T_{2L} , T_{2R} , grouped into two S_3 doublets, i.e., $T_L = (T_{1L}, T_{2L})$, $T_R = (T_{1R}, T_{2R})$. These exotic quark fields are neutral under the $Z_3 \otimes Z'_3$ discrete symmetry but charged under the Z_{14} symmetry as:

$$T_L \rightarrow T_L, \quad T_R \rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{7}} T_R. \quad (1)$$

In addition, the instability of the exotic quarks T_{1L} , T_{1R} , T_{2L} , T_{2R} requires that we extend the scalar sector with a single electrically charged SM scalar singlet ρ^+ . We assume that ρ^+ is a trivial S_3 singlet and is neutral under the $Z_3 \otimes Z'_3 \otimes Z_{14}$ discrete symmetry. The exotic quarks should then decay into ρ^+ and SM up type quarks, through the Yukawa interactions given in Eq. (2), while ρ^+ in turn will mainly decay into SM up and down type quarks. The remaining particles have the $S_3 \otimes Z_3 \otimes Z'_3 \otimes Z_{14}$ charge assignments described in [103]. In this model, the S_3 symmetry reduces the number of parameters in the Yukawa sector making this 2HDM more predictive, and the remaining symmetries control the allowed Lagrangian terms by distinguishing the fields. For example, the two scalar $SU(2)_L$ doublets have different Z_3 charges (ϕ_1 being neutral). The Z'_3 and Z_{14} symmetries shape the hierarchical structure of the fermion mass matrices necessary to get a realistic pattern of fermion masses and mixing. The assignments of the scalar and fermion particles are given in [103], giving rise to the following Yukawa terms for the quark and lepton sectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y^q = & \varepsilon_{33}^{(u)} \bar{q}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_1 u_{3R} + \varepsilon_{23}^{(u)} \bar{q}_{2L} \tilde{\phi}_2 u_{3R} \frac{\chi^2}{\Lambda^2} + \varepsilon_{13}^{(u)} \bar{q}_{1L} \tilde{\phi}_2 u_{3R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} \\ & + \varepsilon_{22}^{(u)} \bar{q}_{2L} \tilde{\phi}_1 U_R \frac{\xi \chi^3}{\Lambda^4} + \varepsilon_{11}^{(u)} \bar{q}_{1L} \tilde{\phi}_1 U_R \frac{\xi \chi^4 \zeta^3}{\Lambda^8} \\ & + \varepsilon_{33}^{(d)} \bar{q}_{3L} \phi_1 d_{3R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} + \varepsilon_{22}^{(d)} \bar{q}_{2L} \phi_2 d_{2R} \frac{\chi^5}{\Lambda^5} \\ & + \varepsilon_{12}^{(d)} \bar{q}_{1L} \phi_2 d_{2R} \frac{\chi^6}{\Lambda^6} + \varepsilon_{21}^{(d)} \bar{q}_{2L} \phi_2 d_{1R} \frac{\chi^6}{\Lambda^6} \\ & + \varepsilon_{11}^{(d)} \bar{q}_{1L} \phi_2 d_{1R} \frac{\chi^7}{\Lambda^7} + y_T \bar{T}_L T_R \chi + \varepsilon_\rho \bar{q}_{3L} \phi_2 T_R \frac{\chi \rho^-}{\Lambda^2} \\ & + \varepsilon_{33}^{(\rho)} \bar{q}_{3L} \phi_1 u_{3R} \frac{\rho^-}{\Lambda} + \varepsilon_{33}^{(\rho)} \bar{q}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_1 d_{3R} \frac{\rho^+ \chi^3}{\Lambda^4} \\ & + \varepsilon_{23}^{(\rho)} \bar{q}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_2 d_{2R} \frac{\rho^+ \chi^3}{\Lambda^4} + \varepsilon_{32}^{(\rho)} \bar{q}_{3L} \phi_2 U_R \frac{\xi \rho^- \chi}{\Lambda^3} \\ & + y_{1\rho} \bar{T}_L U_R \rho^+ \frac{\chi}{\Lambda} + y_{2\rho} \bar{T}_L U_R \rho^+ \frac{\zeta^3 \chi}{\Lambda^4} + h.c \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y^l = & \varepsilon_{33}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{3L} \phi_1 l_{3R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} + \varepsilon_{23}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{2L} \phi_1 l_{3R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} + \varepsilon_{22}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{2L} \phi_1 l_{2R} \frac{\chi^5}{\Lambda^5} \\ & + \varepsilon_{32}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{3L} \phi_1 l_{2R} \frac{\chi^5}{\Lambda^5} + \varepsilon_{11}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{1L} \phi_2 l_{1R} \frac{\chi^7 \zeta}{\Lambda^8} \\ & + \varepsilon_{11}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{1L} \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_{1R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} + \varepsilon_{12}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{1L} \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_{2R} \frac{\chi^3}{\Lambda^3} \\ & + \varepsilon_{21}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{2L} \tilde{\phi}_1 \nu_{1R} + \varepsilon_{22}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{2L} \tilde{\phi}_1 \nu_{2R} + \varepsilon_{31}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_1 \nu_{1R} \\ & + \varepsilon_{32}^{(\nu)} \bar{l}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_1 \nu_{2R} + M_1 \bar{\nu}_{1R} \nu_{1R}^c + M_2 \bar{\nu}_{2R} \nu_{2R}^c \\ & + M_{12} \bar{\nu}_{1R} \nu_{2R}^c + \varepsilon_{21}^{(\rho)} \bar{l}_{2L} \phi_1 \nu_{1R} \frac{\rho^+}{\Lambda} \\ & + \varepsilon_{33}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{3L} \tilde{\phi}_1 l_{3R} \frac{\chi^3 \rho^+}{\Lambda^4} + \varepsilon_{23}^{(l)} \bar{l}_{2L} \tilde{\phi}_1 l_{3R} \frac{\chi^3 \rho^+}{\Lambda^4} \\ & + \varepsilon_{22}^{(\rho)} \bar{l}_{2L} \phi_1 \nu_{2R} \frac{\rho^+}{\Lambda} + \varepsilon_{31}^{(\rho)} \bar{l}_{3L} \phi_1 \nu_{1R} \frac{\rho^+}{\Lambda} \\ & + \varepsilon_{32}^{(\rho)} \bar{l}_{3L} \phi_1 \nu_{2R} \frac{\rho^+}{\Lambda} + h.c \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As the quark masses are related to the quark mixing parameters, we set the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of the SM singlet scalars with respect to the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda = 0.225$ and the new physics scale Λ :

$$v_\xi \sim v_\zeta \sim v_\chi = \lambda \Lambda. \quad (4)$$

Regarding the Yukawa interactions of ρ^+ with quarks and leptons, we only consider operators up to dimension eight and neglect higher dimensional contributions. From the quark Yukawa interactions, it follows that the top partners will decay dominantly into either up or charm quarks and the charged scalar singlet ρ^+ , whereas the dominant decay mode of ρ^+ will be into top and bottom quarks. Let us note that the charged scalar singlet ρ^+ cannot decay into charged leptons and right handed neutrinos, since the right handed Majorana neutrinos are much heavier than ρ^+ , thus not allowing that decay channel. In addition, the charged scalar ρ^+ can decay into charged leptons and light active neutrinos but its corresponding decay rate is suppressed by $\lambda^6 \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}$, as clearly seen from the lepton Yukawa interactions. Consequently, the top partners can be searched at the LHC through their decay channel $T_m \rightarrow \rho^+ u_m \rightarrow t b u_m \rightarrow W b b u_m \rightarrow l 3 j \cancel{E}_T$ ($m = 1, 2$). These top partners are produced in pairs at the LHC via a gluon fusion mechanism, where these exotic quarks are in the triangular loop followed by the propagator of the scalar χ , followed again with a pair of the top partner and its antiparticle (note that the scalar singlet χ has a renormalizable coupling with these top partners). Thus observing an excess of events with respect to the SM background in the opposite sign dileptons final state can be a signal to confirm this model at the LHC.

From the Yukawa terms given above and considering that the VEV of ξ is aligned as $(1, 0)$ in the S_3 direction [103], we find that the quark, charged lepton and light active neutrino mass matrices are:

$$M_U = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \lambda^8 & 0 & a_1 \lambda^3 \\ 0 & b_1 \lambda^4 & a_2 \lambda^2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_D = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \lambda^7 & f_1 \lambda^6 & 0 \\ e_2 \lambda^6 & f_2 \lambda^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1 \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

$$M_l = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \lambda^8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 \lambda^5 & z_1 \lambda^3 \\ 0 & y_2 \lambda^5 & z_2 \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} W^2 & \kappa W X & W Y \\ \kappa W X & X^2 & \kappa X Y \\ W Y & \kappa X Y & Y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa = \cos \varphi.$$

where $v = 246$ GeV and a_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), b_1 , c_1 , g_1 , f_1 , f_2 , e_1 , e_2 , x_1 , y_1 , y_2 , z_1 , z_2 and κ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ parameters, whereas X , Y and W are parameters with dimension \sqrt{m} where m has mass dimension. The Cabbibo mixing arises from the down-type quark sector whereas the up-type quark sector contributes to the remaining mixing angles [104]. Furthermore, light active neutrino masses arise via a type I seesaw mechanism with two heavy right-handed Majorana neutrinos ν_{1R} and ν_{2R} . We have shown in [103] that the fermion mass textures given above are consistent with the current data on SM fermion masses and mixings.

The 750 GeV scalar resonance. The recently reported excess in the diphoton final state can be attributed to the Z_{14} breaking scalar χ , which, taking into account the heavy exotic fermions, is predominantly produced via gluon fusion through the triangular loop diagrams with T_1 and T_2 . The corresponding total cross section σ is a function of the gluon production rate $\Gamma(gg \rightarrow \chi)$ and the consequent decay rate into photons $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi)$

$$\Gamma(gg \rightarrow \chi) = K^{gg} \frac{\alpha_s^2 m_\chi^3}{32\pi^3 v_\chi^2} \left| F(x_T) \right|^2, \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi) = \frac{\alpha^2 m_\chi^3}{64\pi^3 v_\chi^2} \left| N_c Q_T^2 F(x_T) \right|^2, \quad (8)$$

where $m_\chi \simeq 750$ GeV denotes the resonance mass, $x_T = 4m_T^2/m_\chi^2$, $m_T = y_T v_\chi$, and $K^{gg} \sim 1.5$ accounts for higher order QCD corrections. $F(x)$ is a loop function given by

$$F(x) = 2x(1 + (1-x)f(x)), \quad f(x) = (\arcsin \sqrt{1/x})^2$$

with $x_T > 1 \Leftrightarrow 4m_T > m_\chi$. Finally, we obtain

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi) \frac{1}{s} \int_{m_\chi^2/s}^1 \frac{dx}{x} f_g(x) f_g\left(\frac{m_\chi^2}{sx}\right) \Gamma(gg \rightarrow \chi)}{m_\chi \Gamma_\chi}$$

with $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV being the LHC center of mass energy, Γ_χ the total decay width of χ and $f_g(x)$ the gluon distribution function. To obtain a rough estimate of σ we assume for simplicity unified and natural Yukawa couplings




FIG. 1: Total cross section $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ as a function of v_χ for different values of the exotic quark Yukawa couplings $y_{T_i} \sim 0.5, 1$ and 1.5 (in the curves from top to bottom, respectively), assuming $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and $\alpha_s(m_\chi/2) \simeq 0.1$. The horizontal lines denote the experimentally allowed limits of the diphoton signal given by ATLAS and CMS, which amount to 10 ± 3 fb and 6 ± 3 fb, respectively. The limits require $v_\chi \lesssim 2$ TeV if natural order one exotic quark Yukawa couplings are assumed.

of the exotic quarks $y_{T_i} \sim 1$, which with $v_\chi \approx 1.2$ TeV amounts to $\sigma \approx 8$ fb. This is well within the limits given by the ATLAS and CMS experiments [4]

$$\sigma_{\text{ATLAS}} = 10 \pm 3 \text{ fb}, \quad \sigma_{\text{CMS}} = 6 \pm 3 \text{ fb}.$$

The total cross section was computed using the MSTW2008 next-to-leading-order gluon distribution functions [105] as a function of v_χ for different values of the exotic quark Yukawa couplings. As shown in Fig. 1, the cross section depends crucially on the VEV v_χ as well as on the Yukawa couplings, which if sizable can also enhance σ significantly in particular for lower v_χ values.

If we further require that σ be within the experimental limits given by ATLAS and CMS, we predict v_χ to be smaller than 2 TeV, which on the one hand sets the Z_{14} breaking scale and on the other hand fixes the expected particle masses of χ and the exotic quarks T_i to be in the same region.

Conclusion. The same flavon that is responsible for the shaping of the fermion mass and mixing matrices can explain the recently reported 750 GeV excess in the diphoton channel. This is shown using a predictive flavor model based on the $S_3 \otimes Z_3 \otimes Z'_3 \otimes Z_{14}$ symmetry with the addition of heavy exotic fermions with electric charge $\frac{5}{3}$ and a electrically charged scalar singlet. These heavy exotic quarks decay into a charged scalar singlet and the SM up type quarks, whereas the charged scalar singlet will mainly decay into SM up and down type quarks. Attributing the Z_{14} breaking scalar to the resonance allows one to fix the energy of the breaking scale and, hence, enables immediate testing of the model at the current LHC run.

Acknowledgments. This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Pro-

gramme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no PIEF-GA-

2012-327195 SIFT.

-
- [1] ATLAS collaboration [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2015-081.
 - [2] CMS Collaboration [CMS Collaboration], collisions at 13TeV, CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004.
 - [3] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo and D. Marzocca, arXiv:1512.04929 [hep-ph].
 - [4] R. Franceschini *et al.*, arXiv:1512.04933 [hep-ph].
 - [5] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz and T. You, arXiv:1512.05327 [hep-ph].
 - [6] R. S. Gupta, S. Jäger, Y. Kats, G. Perez and E. Stamou, arXiv:1512.05332 [hep-ph].
 - [7] J. Chakraborty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal and T. Srivastava, arXiv:1512.05767 [hep-ph].
 - [8] P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece and M. Strassler, arXiv:1512.05775 [hep-ph].
 - [9] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz and J. Terning, arXiv:1512.05776 [hep-ph].
 - [10] A. Falkowski, O. Slone and T. Volansky, arXiv:1512.05777 [hep-ph].
 - [11] D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati and Y. Nir, arXiv:1512.05778 [hep-ph].
 - [12] F. P. Huang, C. S. Li, Z. L. Liu and Y. Wang, arXiv:1512.06732 [hep-ph].
 - [13] W. S. Cho, D. Kim, K. Kong, S. H. Lim, K. T. Matchev, J. C. Park and M. Park, arXiv:1512.06824 [hep-ph].
 - [14] S. Kanemura, N. Machida, S. Odori and T. Shindou, arXiv:1512.09053 [hep-ph].
 - [15] A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, arXiv:1512.08184 [hep-ph].
 - [16] L. Marzola, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, F. R. Urban and H. Veermäe, arXiv:1512.09136 [hep-ph].
 - [17] J. Jaeckel, M. Jankowiak and M. Spannowsky, Phys. Dark Univ. **2**, 111 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.dark.2013.06.001 [arXiv:1212.3620 [hep-ph]].
 - [18] J. de Blas, M. Chala, M. Perez-Victoria and J. Santiago, JHEP **1504**, 078 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)078 [arXiv:1412.8480 [hep-ph]].
 - [19] S. Fichtel, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, arXiv:1512.05751 [hep-ph].
 - [20] Q. H. Cao, Y. Liu, K. P. Xie, B. Yan and D. M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05542 [hep-ph].
 - [21] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze and T. Li, arXiv:1512.05439 [hep-ph].
 - [22] A. Alves, A. G. Dias and K. Sinha, arXiv:1512.06091 [hep-ph].
 - [23] H. Han, S. Wang and S. Zheng, arXiv:1512.06562 [hep-ph].
 - [24] J. Chang, K. Cheung and C. T. Lu, arXiv:1512.06671 [hep-ph].
 - [25] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza and F. Sannino, arXiv:1512.06708 [hep-ph].
 - [26] J. Zhang and S. Zhou, arXiv:1512.07889 [hep-ph].
 - [27] K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee, J. Park and P. Y. Tseng, arXiv:1512.07853 [hep-ph].
 - [28] A. E. C. Hernández, arXiv:1512.09092 [hep-ph].
 - [29] W. Altmannshofer, J. Galloway, S. Gori, A. L. Kagan, A. Martin and J. Zupan, arXiv:1512.07616 [hep-ph].
 - [30] C. Cai, Z. H. Yu and H. H. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08440 [hep-ph].
 - [31] M. Bauer and M. Neubert, arXiv:1512.06828 [hep-ph].
 - [32] C. W. Murphy, arXiv:1512.06976 [hep-ph].
 - [33] S. Chakraborty, A. Chakraborty and S. Raychaudhuri, arXiv:1512.07527 [hep-ph].
 - [34] B. C. Allanach, P. S. B. Dev, S. A. Renner and K. Sakurai, arXiv:1512.07645 [hep-ph].
 - [35] F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.06715 [hep-ph].
 - [36] F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08434 [hep-ph].
 - [37] Q. H. Cao, Y. Liu, K. P. Xie, B. Yan and D. M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08441 [hep-ph].
 - [38] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi and A. Vicente, arXiv:1512.06878 [hep-ph].
 - [39] A. E. C. Hernández and I. Nisandzic, arXiv:1512.07165 [hep-ph].
 - [40] K. M. Patel and P. Sharma, arXiv:1512.07468 [hep-ph].
 - [41] Q. H. Cao, S. L. Chen and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1512.07541 [hep-ph].
 - [42] J. Cao, F. Wang and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08392 [hep-ph].
 - [43] G. M. Pelaggi, A. Strumia and E. Vigiani, arXiv:1512.07225 [hep-ph].
 - [44] W. Chao, arXiv:1512.08484 [hep-ph].
 - [45] W. Chao, arXiv:1512.06297 [hep-ph].
 - [46] Y. Jiang, Y. Y. Li and T. Liu, arXiv:1512.09127 [hep-ph].
 - [47] P. V. Dong and N. T. K. Ngan, arXiv:1512.09073 [hep-ph].
 - [48] A. Dasgupta, M. Mitra and D. Borah, arXiv:1512.09202 [hep-ph].
 - [49] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.04850 [hep-ph].
 - [50] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, arXiv:1512.05334 [hep-ph].
 - [51] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu and J. Shu, arXiv:1512.05759 [hep-ph].
 - [52] D. Curtin and C. B. Verhaaren, arXiv:1512.05753 [hep-ph].
 - [53] J. M. No, V. Sanz and J. Setford, arXiv:1512.05700 [hep-ph].
 - [54] S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, arXiv:1512.05564 [hep-ph].
 - [55] J. S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki and R. R. de Austri, arXiv:1512.06083 [hep-ph].
 - [56] M. Son and A. Urbano, arXiv:1512.08307 [hep-ph].
 - [57] J. de Blas, J. Santiago and R. Vega-Morales, arXiv:1512.07229 [hep-ph].
 - [58] L. Basso, O. Fischer and J. J. van der Bij, Europhys. Lett. **101**, 51004 (2013) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/101/51004 [arXiv:1212.5560 [hep-ph]].
 - [59] N. Bonne and G. Moreau, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 409 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.09.063 [arXiv:1206.3360 [hep-ph]].
 - [60] G. Moreau, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 1, 015027 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.015027 [arXiv:1210.3977]

- [hep-ph].
- [61] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, R. Benbrik, S. Heine-meyer and M. Pérez-Victoria, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no. 9, 094010 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094010 [arXiv:1306.0572 [hep-ph]].
 - [62] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, arXiv:1510.07527 [hep-ph].
 - [63] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, arXiv:1512.04921 [hep-ph].
 - [64] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05585 [hep-ph].
 - [65] R. Benbrik, C. H. Chen and T. Nomura, arXiv:1512.06028 [hep-ph].
 - [66] M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, arXiv:1512.06782 [hep-ph].
 - [67] K. Das and S. K. Rai, arXiv:1512.07789 [hep-ph].
 - [68] J. Liu, X. P. Wang and W. Xue, arXiv:1512.07885 [hep-ph].
 - [69] Y. L. Tang and S. h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08323 [hep-ph].
 - [70] G. Li, Y. n. Mao, Y. L. Tang, C. Zhang, Y. Zhou and S. h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08255 [hep-ph].
 - [71] P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08507 [hep-ph].
 - [72] S. Kanemura, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa, S. C. Park and R. Watanabe, arXiv:1512.09048 [hep-ph].
 - [73] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, arXiv:1512.04913 [hep-ph].
 - [74] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti and D. Redigolo, arXiv:1512.04917 [hep-ph].
 - [75] Y. Nakai, R. Sato and K. Tobioka, arXiv:1512.04924 [hep-ph].
 - [76] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci and K. Zurek, arXiv:1512.04928 [hep-ph].
 - [77] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa and C. F. Sierra, arXiv:1512.05617 [hep-ph].
 - [78] X. J. Bi, Q. F. Xiang, P. F. Yin and Z. H. Yu, arXiv:1512.06787 [hep-ph].
 - [79] D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni and D. Sengupta, arXiv:1512.06842 [hep-ph].
 - [80] U. K. Dey, S. Mohanty and G. Tomar, arXiv:1512.07212 [hep-ph].
 - [81] P. S. B. Dev and D. Teresi, arXiv:1512.07243 [hep-ph].
 - [82] H. Han, S. Wang and S. Zheng, arXiv:1512.07992 [hep-ph].
 - [83] J. C. Park and S. C. Park, arXiv:1512.08117 [hep-ph].
 - [84] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala and J. Serra, arXiv:1512.05330 [hep-ph].
 - [85] C. Petersson and R. Torre, arXiv:1512.05333 [hep-ph].
 - [86] S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, arXiv:1512.05723 [hep-ph].
 - [87] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, arXiv:1512.05771 [hep-ph].
 - [88] D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri and T. Samui, arXiv:1512.06674 [hep-ph].
 - [89] M. T. Arun and P. Saha, arXiv:1512.06335 [hep-ph].
 - [90] A. Pilaftsis, arXiv:1512.04931 [hep-ph].
 - [91] J. J. Heckman, arXiv:1512.06773 [hep-ph].
 - [92] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson and P. Langacker, arXiv:1512.07622 [hep-ph].
 - [93] L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, D. Lust and T. R. Taylor, arXiv:1512.08502 [hep-ph].
 - [94] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola and M. Raidal, arXiv:1512.04939 [hep-ph].
 - [95] D. Becirevic, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari and R. Z. Funchal, arXiv:1512.05623 [hep-ph].
 - [96] X. F. Han and L. Wang, arXiv:1512.06587 [hep-ph].
 - [97] M. Badziak, arXiv:1512.07497 [hep-ph].
 - [98] S. Moretti and K. Yagyu, arXiv:1512.07462 [hep-ph].
 - [99] X. J. Bi *et al.*, arXiv:1512.08497 [hep-ph].
 - [100] N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio and J.-L. Kneur, arXiv:1512.08508 [hep-ph].
 - [101] S. K. Kang and J. Song, arXiv:1512.08963 [hep-ph].
 - [102] W. C. Huang, Y. L. S. Tsai and T. C. Yuan, arXiv:1512.07268 [hep-ph].
 - [103] A. E. C. Hernández, I. d. M. Varzielas and E. Schumacher, arXiv:1509.02083 [hep-ph].
 - [104] A. E. C. Hernández and I. d. M. Varzielas, *J. Phys. G* **42**, no. 6, 065002 (2015) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/42/6/065002 [arXiv:1410.2481 [hep-ph]].
 - [105] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63**, 189 (2009) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5 [arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]].